arXiv:math/0309128v1 [math.DG] 7 Sep 2003

On new examples of Hamiltonian–minimal and minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$

A. E. Mironov

1 Introduction and main results

In this article we propose a new method for the construction of Hamiltonian–minimal (H-minimal) and minimal Lagrangian immersions of some manifolds in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and in $\mathbb{C}P^n$. By this method one can construct, in particular, immersions of such manifolds as the generalized Klein's bottle $\mathcal{K}^n$, the multidimensional torus, $\mathcal{K}^{n-1}\times S^1$, $S^{n-1}\times S^1$, and others. In some cases these immersions are embeddings. For example, it is possible to embed the following manifolds: $\mathcal{K}^{2n+1}$, $S^{2n+1}\times S^1$, $\mathcal{K}^{2n+1}\times S^1$, $S^{2n+1}\times S^1\times S^1$.

An immersion $\psi : L \to P$ of an n-dimensional manifold L in a symplectic $2n$-dimensional manifold P with a symplectic form ω is called Lagrangian, if $\psi^*(\omega) = 0$.

In the sequel let P be a Kähler manifold with a symplectic form $\omega = -\mathrm{Im} ds^2$, where ds^2 is a Hermitian metric on P.

A Lagrangian immersion ψ is called *H*-minimal if the variations of volume $\psi(L)$ along all Hamiltonian fields with compact supports vanish:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{vol}(\psi_t(L))|_{t=0} = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where $\psi_0(L) = \psi(L), \psi_t(L)$ is a deformation $\psi(L)$ along a Hamiltonian field W, i.e. such a field that the one-form $\omega_W(\psi^*(\xi)) = \psi^*\omega(W, \xi)$ is exact on L, and $\mathrm{vol}(\psi_t(L))$ is the volume of the deformed part of $\psi_t(L)$.

As an example of Hamiltonian deformations we can consider deformations of a unit circle in a unit sphere S^2 when S^2 is laid out on two parts of equal area in each moment of time. We recall that an immersion is called minimal if the variations of volume $\psi(L)$ along all fields vanish.

Let M be a k-dimensional manifold given in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a system of equations

$$e_{1j}u_1^2+\ldots+e_{nj}u_n^2=d_j,\ j=1,\ldots,n-k, \tag{2}$$

where $d_j\in\mathbb{R}, e_{ij}\in\mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\dim M=k$, we can assume that equations (2) are linearly independent and the integer vectors $e_j=(e_{j1},\ldots,e_{j(n-k)})\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-k}, j=1,\ldots,n$ form a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ of maximum rank. Let's denote by Λ^* a dual lattice to Λ, i.e.,

$$\Lambda^*=\{\lambda^*\in\mathbb{R}^{n-k}|(\lambda^*,\lambda)\in\mathbb{Z},\lambda\in\Lambda\}.$$

By Γ we denote the following factor group

$$\Gamma=\Lambda^*/2\Lambda^*.$$

The following isomorphism takes place

$$\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}_2^{n-k}.$$

By T^{n-k} we denote the $(n-k)$-dimensional torus

$$T^{n-k}=\{(e^{\pi i(e_1,y)},\ldots,e^{\pi i(e_n,y)})\}\subset\mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $y=(y_1,\ldots,y_{n-k})\in\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ and $(e_j,y)=e_{j1}y_1+\ldots+e_{j(n-k)}y_{n-k}$.

We define an action of group Γ on the manifold $M\times T^{n-k}$. For $\gamma\in\Gamma$ let

$$\gamma(u_1,\ldots,u_n,y)=(u_1\cos\pi(e_1,\gamma),\ldots,u_n\cos\pi(e_n,\gamma),y+\gamma).$$

Note that $\cos\pi(e_j,\gamma)=\pm1$. We introduce a map

$$\varphi: M\times T^{n-k}\to\mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$\varphi(u_1,\ldots,u_n,y)=(u_1e^{\pi i(e_1,y)},\ldots,u_ne^{\pi i(e_n,y)}).$$

Let denote by e a vector $e_1+\ldots+e_n$. We assume $\mathbb{C}^n$ is endowed by the Euclidian metric and the symplectic form

$$\omega=dx_1\wedge dy_1+\ldots+dx_n\wedge dy_n.$$

The following theorem is valid:

Theorem 1 *Group Γ acts on $M \times T^{n-k}$ freely. Map φ gives an immersion*

$$\psi_1 : M_1 = M \times T^{n-k}/\Gamma \to \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Immersion ψ_1 is Lagrangian H-minimal. If $e = 0$, then ψ_1 is a Lagrangian minimal immersion.

Below we will show that ψ_1 is a bijective map between everywhere open dense subsets in M_1 and $\psi_1(M_1)$ which are determined by inequalities $u_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, n$. Thus, selfintersections of $\psi_1(M_1)$ can appear only at points for which $u_j = 0$ for some j.

For M_1 to be closed it is sufficient, for example, to require that one of equations (2) gives an ellipsoid. The condition $e = 0$ does not allow M_1 to be closed.

The notion of H-minimality was introduced by Oh [1]. He also proved that the torus

$$S^1(r_1) \times \ldots \times S^1(r_n) \subset \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $S^1(r_j)$ is a circle of radius r_j is an H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Other examples of H-minimal Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$ have been constructed by Castro and Urbano [2] and Helein and Romon [3]. In [4] Helein and Romon constructed an immersed (with selfintersection) H-minimal Lagrangian Klein's bottle in $\mathbb{C}^2$. As was shown by Nemirovski [5], there exist no Lagrangian Klein's bottles embedded in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Let's note that in $\mathbb{C}^n$ there exist no closed minimal submanifolds.

We also note that Oh's example follows from the fact that the circle $S^1(r)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is an H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold, and from the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let $P = P_1 \times P_2$ and $\omega = \pi_1^*\omega_1 + \pi_2^*\omega_2$, where (P_i, ω_i) is Kähler manifold and $\pi_i : P \to P_i$ is a proejection, $i = 1, 2$. Let $L_i \subset P_i$ be a H-minimal Lagrangian submanifold, $i = 1, 2$. Then the submanifold $L = L_1 \times L_2 \subset P$ is H-minimal Lagrangian.*

Lemma 1 is also valid for immersions.

Let's consider the case of M being a cone, i.e. $d_j = 0$ in equation (2). Then to this cone there corresponds an immersion ψ_2 into the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ of a $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold M_2 which results from factorization of manifold $(M - \{0\}) \times T^{n-k}/\Gamma$ by action of $\mathbb{R}^*$

$$\psi_2(u_1, \dots, u_n, y) = (u_1 e^{\pi i (e_1, y)} : \ldots : u_n e^{\pi i (e_n, y)}).$$

We suppose that $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is endowed by the Fubini – Studi metric and as a symplectic form we consider the Kähler form Ω of this metric.

Theorem 2 *Map ψ_2 is an H-minimal Lagrangian immersion.*
If $e = 0$, then ψ_2 is a minimal Lagrangian immersion.

It is now evident how to construct the closed manifold M_2. For this it is sufficient, for example, that in any of the equations (2) all but one coefficient be positive.

Helein and Romon established a correspondence between H-minimal Lagrangian cones in $\mathbb{C}^3$ and H-minimal Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$, but did not propose explicit examples [6]. Castro and Urbano in [7] constructed examples of Lagrangian minimal tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

From Lemma 1 follows, that, using examples of H-minimal Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$, one can construct H-minimal Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{C}P^{n_k}$.

Lemma 1 is proven in paragraph 2, Theorems 1 and 2 are proven in paragraphs 3 and 4. We will give some examples for Theorems 1 and 2 at the end of paragraphs 3 and 4, respectively.

The author thank Ya. V. Bazaikin and I. A. Taimanov for valuable conversations.

2 Proof of Lemma 1

Let H denote a vector field of mean curvature along L. Oh proved the following assertion:

A Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset P$ is H-minimal if and only if

$$\delta\omega_H = 0,$$

where δ is the Hodge dual operator to d.

Actually, by formula of volume variation along field W we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{vol}(L_t) = \int_L < H, W > d\mathrm{vol} = \int_L < \omega_H, \omega_W > d\mathrm{vol},$$

where L_t is the deformation of L along field W. For every function f on L we can select a hamiltonian field W such that $\omega_W = df$, consequently, this assertion follows from the arbitrariness of f (for details see [1]).

PROOF OF LEMMA 1. L is Lagrangian because

$$\omega(V,V') = \omega(\pi_1^*V_1 + \pi_2^*V_2, \pi_1^*V_1' + \pi_2^*V_2') =$$

$$\pi_1^*\omega_1(V_1,V_1') + \pi_2^*\omega_2(V_2,V_2') = 0,$$

where V and V' are vectors tangent to L, V_i and V_i' are uniquely defined vectors to L_i such that V and V' decompose into the sum of their lifting on L, $V = \pi_1^*V_1 + \pi_2^*V_2, V' = \pi_1^*V_1' + \pi_2^*V_2'$.

We show that $\delta\pi_1^*\alpha = \pi_1^*\delta\alpha$, where $\delta = -*d*$, $*$ is Hodge's star, α is a one-form on P_1. Let $(x) = (x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ be coordinates in the neighbourhood of $p_1 \in P_1$, and let $(y) = (y_1,\ldots,y_k)$ be coordinates in the neighbourhood of $p_2 \in P_2$. Because δ and π_1^* are linear it is sufficient to consider the case of $\alpha = f(x)dx_1$. Operator $*$ on P_1 has the form

$$*\frac{dx_1}{g_1(x)} = \frac{1}{g_2(x)}dx_2\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n,\ *\frac{1}{g_1(x)g_2(x)}dx_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n = 1,$$

where $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x)$ are the norms of the forms dx_1 and $dx_2\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n$. Analogically, star $*$ on P has the form

$$*\frac{dx_1}{g_1(x)} = \frac{1}{g_2(x)g(y)}dx_2\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\wedge dy_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_k,$$

$$*\frac{1}{g_1(x)g_2(x)g(y)}dx_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\wedge dy_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_k = 1,$$

where $g(y)$ is the norm of form $dy_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_k$. Then

$$\pi_1^*\delta\alpha = -\pi_1^* * d * \alpha = -\pi_1^* * d\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}dx_2\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\right)$$

$$= -\pi_1^* * \left(\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}\right)dx_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\right) = -\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}\right)g_1(x)g_2(x).$$

On the other hand

$$\delta\pi_1^*\alpha = -*d\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)g(y)}dx_2\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\wedge dy_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_k\right)$$

$$= -*\left(\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)g(y)}\right)dx_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx_n\wedge dy_1\wedge\ldots\wedge dy_k\right)$$

$$= -\partial_{x_1}\left(\frac{f(x)g_1(x)}{g_2(x)}\right)g_1(x)g_2(x).$$

Analogically one can show that $\delta\pi_2^*\alpha = \pi_2^*\delta\alpha$. We note that by the definition of mean curvature it is not difficult to obtain equality $H = \pi_1^*H_1 + \pi_2^*H_2$, where H_i is a vector field of mean curvature along L_i. Then H-minimality of L follows from equalities

$$\delta\omega_H = \delta\omega_{\pi_1^*H_1+\pi_2^*H_2} = \delta\pi_1^*\omega_{1H_1} + \delta\pi_2^*\omega_{2H_2}$$

$$= \pi_1^*\delta\omega_{1H_1} + \pi_2^*\delta\omega_{2H_2} = 0.$$

Thus, Lemma 1 is proven.

3 Immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ (Theorem 1)

There is a criterion of H-minimality in terms of the Lagrangian angle — a function defined on L:

An immersion ψ of manifold L is H-minimal if and only if the Lagrangian angle is a harmonic function on L.

We recall the Wolfson construction [8] of building a Lagrangian angle. We choose an orientation on L. Let K be a canonical linear bundle over P with the connection ∇, induced by the Levi–Chivita connection. Sections of K are holomorphic n-forms on P. We suppose that there exists some section σ of bundle ψ^*K parallel on L in induced connection, i.e. $\psi^*\nabla\sigma = 0$. Let the norm of σ in each point be equal to 1. In each point $x \in L$ we choose an orthonormal tangent frame ξ concordant with the orientation of L. Then we obtain some function $\beta(x)$ on L, defined by equality

$$\sigma(\xi) = e^{i\beta(x)}.$$

Function β is called Lagrangian angle on L. The value of β is independent of the choice of ξ. For another choice of σ function β can change only by a constant, consequently, the one-form $d\beta$ is correctly defined on L (for details see [8]). In the general case function $\beta(x)$ is multivalued. By moving on a cycle $\beta(x)$ can change its value by $2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Wolfson [8] showed that $d\beta = \omega_H$. Consequently, immersion ψ is H-minimal if and only if the Lagrangian angle is a harmonic function on L, i.e. $\Delta\beta = \delta d\beta = 0$.

With the help of the Lagrangian angle one can calculate the vector of mean curvature of $\psi(L)$. From the lagrangianality of ψ and equalities

$$(J_P H, V)_P = \omega(H, V) = d\beta(\psi^*(V)) = (\psi_*(\mathrm{grad}\beta), V)_P,$$

where $(.,.)_P$ is a Riemannian metric on P, J_P is a complex structure on P, V is a tangent vector to $\psi(L)$, we obtain

$$H = -J_P\psi_*(\mathrm{grad}\beta) \tag{3}$$

(in points of selfintersection of $\psi(L)$ there arise some vectors H).

For Lagrangian immersions in $\mathbb{C}^n$, the Lagrangian angle can be calculated by means of form

$$dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n,$$

since it is parallel on $\mathbb{C}^n$, and, consequently,

$$\psi^*\nabla(\psi^*(dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n)) = 0.$$

The following lemma is necessary.

Let there be given a block diagonal metric of the form

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} g_{ij}(x)dx_i dx_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-k} \widetilde{g}_{ij}(x)dy_i dy_j, \tag{4}$$

where $(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ and $(y) = (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-k})$.

Lemma 2 *If function α is linear on y:*

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 y_1 + \ldots + \alpha_{n-k}y_{n-k}, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

then it is harmonic in metric ds^2.

PROOF. We denote $\det g_{ij}, \det\widetilde{g}_{ij}$ and the components of the matrices $(g_{ij})^{-1}$ and $(\widetilde{g}_{ij})^{-1}$ by $g, \widetilde{g}, g^{ij}$ and $\widetilde{g}^{ij}$, respectively. Then

$$\Delta\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g\widetilde{g}}}\sum_k \partial_{x_k}(\sum_i g^{ik}\sqrt{g\widetilde{g}}\partial_{x_i}\alpha) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g\widetilde{g}}}\sum_k \partial_{y_k}(\sum_i \widetilde{g}^{ik}\sqrt{g\widetilde{g}}\partial_{y_i}\alpha) = 0.$$

Lemma 2 is proven.

By

$$< \xi, \eta >= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \xi_i \overline{\eta}_i = (\xi, \eta) - i\omega(\xi, \eta)$$

we denote the Hermitian product of vectors ξ and η in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\widetilde{F} = (f_1(x), \ldots, f_n(x))$ be a map on M, where $x \in U^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. We consider a map on $\psi_1(M_1)$

$$F = (f_1(x)e^{\pi i(e_1,y)}, \ldots, f_n(x)e^{\pi i(e_n,y)}) : U^k \times V^{n-k} \to \psi_1(M_1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $y \in V^{n-k} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. From equality (2) we obtain

$$\langle \partial_{y_j} F, \partial_{x_s} F \rangle = \pi i e_{1j} f_1 \partial_{x_s} f_1 + \ldots + \pi i e_{nj} f_n \partial_{x_s} f_n = 0, \tag{5}$$

consequently, the induced metric on M_1 in local coordinates has the form (4). Further, from (5), $\langle \partial_{x_s} F, \partial_{x_j} F \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle \partial_{y_s} F, \partial_{y_j} F \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ we obtain equalities

$$\omega(\partial_{y_j} F, \partial_{x_s} F) = \omega(\partial_{y_j} F, \partial_{y_s} F) = \omega(\partial_{x_s} F, \partial_{x_j} F) = 0,$$

which means that the embedding ψ_1 is Lagrangian.

If manifold M is smooth, then the vectors $\partial x_j F(x)$ are linearly independent, because

$$< \partial x_j F(x), \partial x_k F(x) >= (\partial x_j \widetilde{F}(x), \partial x_k \widetilde{F}(x)).$$

Normal vectors to the hypersurfaces given by equations (2) have the form

$$n_j = (e_{1j} u_1, \ldots, e_{nj} u_n).$$

If point $(u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in M$ is smooth, then n_j are linearly independent, consequently, the matrix consisting of components of vectors n_j has rank $n-k$. By multiplying the k-th column by $\pi i e^{\pi i(e_k,y)}$ its rank (over $\mathbb{R}$) does not change. Therefore the vectors

$$\partial_{y_j} F = (\pi i e_{1j} f_1 e^{\pi i(e_1,y)}, \ldots, \pi i e_{nj} f_n e^{\pi i(e_n,y)})$$

are linearly independent. From (5) follows that map F is smooth of maximal rank.

From (5) also follows that in tangent space of each point one can choose an orthonormal basis of form

$$p_j = (p_{j1}(x)e^{\pi i(e_1,y)}, \ldots, p_{jn}(x)e^{\pi i(e_n,y)}), j = 1, \ldots, k,$$

$$q_s = (\pi i q_{s1}(x) e^{\pi i (e_1, y)}, \dots, \pi i q_{sn}(x) e^{\pi i (e_n, y)}), s = 1, \dots, n-k.$$

On M_1 one can choose an orientation such that the Lagrangian angle has the form

$$\beta = -i \log(dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n(p, q)) = -i \log i^{n-k} e^{i(e_1 + \dots + e_n, y)},$$

where (p, q) is a matrix (up to interchanging two lines) consisting of components of vectors p_j and q_s. From Lemma 2 follows $\Delta\beta = 0$, which proves the H-minimality of ψ_1. If $e = 0$, then $\beta = const$ and from formula (3) follows the minimality of ψ_1.

By definition of Γ follows that if $\gamma \in \Gamma, \gamma \neq 0$, then there is a vector e_j such that (γ, e_j) is an odd number. Indeed, if $(\gamma, e_s) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all s, then by definition $\frac{\gamma}{2} \in \Lambda^*$, therefore $\gamma \in 2\Lambda^*$. Consequently, $e^{\pi i (e_j, y+\gamma)} = -e^{\pi i (e_j, y)}$, and thus the action of group Γ on $M \times T^{n-k}$ is free.

We show that selfintersections of $\psi_1(M_1)$ can arise only in points for which $u_j = 0$ for some j. Suppose that

$$\varphi(u_1, \dots, u_n, y) = \varphi(u_1', \dots, u_n', y')$$

and $u_j \neq 0$. Then

$$|u_1| = |u_1'|, \dots, |u_n| = |u_n'|,$$
$$e^{\pi i (e_1, y-y')} = \pm 1, \dots, e^{\pi i (e_n, y-y')} = \pm 1,$$

i.e.

$$(e_1, y-y') \in \mathbb{Z}, \dots, (e_n, y-y') \in \mathbb{Z},$$

consequently, $\gamma = y - y' \in \Gamma$,

$$u_1 = u_1' \cos \pi(e_1, \gamma), \dots, u_n = u_n' \cos \pi(e_n, \gamma),$$

$$\gamma(u_1', \dots, u_n', y') = (u_1, \dots, u_n, y).$$

Thus ψ_1 is an immersion of manifold M_1 in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Theorem 1 is proven.

Example 1. Let M be an ellipse

$$u_1^2 + 2u_2^2 = 1.$$

In this case

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}, \ \Lambda^* = \mathbb{Z}, \ \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The non-zero element γ from Γ acts on $M \times S^1$ in the following way:

$$\gamma(u_1, u_2, q) = (-u_1, u_2, -q).$$

Up to a homeomorphism we can suppose that M is a unit circle in $\mathbb{C}^1$, then γ acts on torus $T = S^1 \times S^1$ in the following way

$$\gamma(q_1, q_2) = (\bar{q}_1, -q_2).$$

We consider a universal covering of torus T by plane $\mathbb{R}^2$

$$(x, y) \to (e^{2\pi ix}, e^{2\pi iy}).$$

An action $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on T induces an action Z_2 on $\mathbb{R}^2$, point (x, y) moves by action of the non-zero element in $(-x, y + \frac{1}{2})$. Thus manifold $T/\mathbb{Z}_2$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2/\{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2\}$. The rectangle with the vertices $A(0, 0), B(0, \frac{1}{2}), C(1, 0)$, $D(1, 1)$ serves as a fundamental domain of action of group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Thereby vector AB must be identified with CD, and vector AC — with BD, but with a change of orientation. Consequently, $M \times S^1/\Gamma$ is Klein's bottle $\mathcal{K}$. By mapping φ circles $\{(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, y)\}$ and $\{(0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, y + \frac{1}{2})\}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ are indentical.

Example 2. We consider a more general situation. Let M be a $(n-1)$-dimensional ellipsoid

$$m_1 u_1^2 + \ldots + m_n u_n^2 = 1,$$

where m_j are natural numbers. The non-zero element $\gamma \in \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on $M \times S^1$ in the following way:

$$\gamma(u, q) = (\tau(u), -q),$$

where $\tau : M \to M$ is some involution. We cut S^1 in two halves. Up to a homeomorphism we can suppose that one of these parts is the interval $[0, 1]$. Then $M \times S^1/\Gamma$ is obtained from a cylinder $M \times [0, 1]$ by identification of points on the boundary, namely, the point of the form $(u, 0)$ must be identified with $(\tau(u), 1)$. If τ preserves the orientation of M, then $M \times S^1/\Gamma$ is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1} \times S^1$, and if it does not, then $M \times S^1/\Gamma$ is the generalized Klein's bottle $\mathcal{K}^n$. For example, in the case when M is a sphere, the involution τ identifies point u with $-u$. If the sphere is evendimensional, then τ does not preserve its orientation, but if the sphere is odddimensional, then τ does preserve its orientation. Thereby map φ induces the embedding of manifold $M \times S^1/\Gamma$.

Example 3. Let M be an intersection of sphere

$$u_1^2 + \ldots + u_n^2 = 1$$

and cone

$$u_1^2 + \ldots + u_{n-1}^2 = u_n^2,$$

M is a unification of two non-intersecting spheres ($u_n > 0$ and $u_n < 0$). In this case

$$\Lambda = e_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus e_3\mathbb{Z},\ e_1 = (1,1),\ e_3 = (1,-1),$$

$$\Lambda^* = \gamma_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \gamma_2\mathbb{Z}, \gamma_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \gamma_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right),\ \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The elements γ_1 and γ_2 act on $M \times T^2$ in the following way

$$\gamma_1(u_1, \ldots, u_n, y) = (u_1 \cos\pi, \ldots, u_{n-1}\cos\pi, u_n \cos 0, y + \gamma_1)$$

$$= \left(-u_1, \ldots, -u_{n-1}, u_n, y + \gamma_1\right),$$

$$\gamma_2(u_1, \ldots, u_n, y) = \left(u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, -u_n, y + \gamma_2\right).$$

The element γ_2 glues two non-intersecting components $M \times T^2$ ($u_n > 0$ and $u_n < 0$). Up to a homeomorphism one can suppose that the component of connectedness $M \times T^2$ is $S^{n-2} \times S^1 \times S^1$, then an action $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on it looks the following way

$$\gamma(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (-q_1, -q_2, q_3).$$

Consequently, $M \times T^2/\Gamma$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{K}^{n-1} \times S^1$ if n is an even number and $S^{n-2} \times S^1 \times S^1$ if n is an odd number (see example 2). We prove that $\psi_1(M_1)$ has no selfintersections. We suppose that

$$\varphi(u_1, \ldots, u_n, y) = \varphi(u'_1, \ldots, u'_n, y').$$

It is clear that $u_n \neq 0$ and at least one of the components $u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ is non-zero. Let $u_j \neq 0$. Then

$$e^{\pi i(e_j, y-y')} = \pm 1, e^{\pi i(e_n, y-y')} = \pm 1,$$

i.e.

$$(e_j, y - y') \in \mathbb{Z},\ (e_n, y - y') \in \mathbb{Z},$$

Since $e_1 = \ldots = e_{n-1}$, then $\gamma = y - y' \in \Gamma$,

$$u_1 = u'_1 \cos\pi(e_1, \gamma), \ldots, u_n = u'_n \cos\pi(e_n, \gamma).$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma(u'_1, \ldots, u'_n, y') = (u_1, \ldots, u_n, y).$$

Example 4. Let M be an intersection of ellipsoid

$$u_1^2 + 2u_2^2 + u_3^2 = 1$$

and cone

$$u_1^2 + 2u_2^2 = u_3^2.$$

As in the previous example an action Γ on $M \times T^2$ is reduced to an action $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on torus $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$

$$\gamma(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (\bar{q_1}, -q_2, q_3).$$

Consequently, $M \times T^2/\Gamma$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{K} \times S^1$.

4 Immersions in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ (Theorem 2)

Proof of Theorem 2. We consider the Hopf bundle

$$h : S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}.$$

We suppose that S^{2n-1} is a unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$. h maps every circle $S^1 \subset S^{2n-1}$ given by an intersection of S^{2n-1} with the complex line $l \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $0 \in l$ into a point of the projective space corresponding to l.

Let $\widetilde{L}$ be a simple connected $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifold in S^{2n-1} such that for any point $p \in \widetilde{L}$ the linear hull of radius-vector p and the tangent space to $\widetilde{L}$ in point p is a Lagrangian n-dimensional space in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We shall suppose that $\widetilde{L}$ is a sufficiently small neigbourhood of point p. By L we denote $h(\widetilde{L}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$.

Lemma 3 *Submanifold $L \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is Lagrangian. Map $h : \widetilde{L} \to L$ is an isometry.*

Proof. In every point $p \in \widetilde{L}$ we choose a tangent basis $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}$ to $\widetilde{L}$. Then by condition of Lemma 3 we have equalities

$$\omega(\xi_k, p) = (\xi_k, ip) = (\xi_k, p) = 0.$$

Consequently, every vector ξ_k is orthogonal to circle $S_p^1 \subset S^{2n-1}$, which is an intersection of S^{2n-1} and a complex line passing through p. Thus the tangent space to $\widetilde{L}$ in point p is orthogonal to a fiber of the Hopf bundle including p, i.e. manifold $\widetilde{L}$ is a horizontal manifold by Hopf mapping h. Consequently, since h is a Riemannian submersion, $h|_{\widetilde{L}}$ is an isometry. Equality

$$\Omega(h_*(\xi_k), h_*(\xi_j)) = \omega(\xi_k, \xi_j) = 0$$

holds, which means that L is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Lemma 3 is proven.

We define a $(n-1)$-form σ on L. For any tangent vectors $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ to L in point $h(p)$, where $p \in \widetilde{L}$ there exist uniquely defined tangent vectors $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$ to $\widetilde{L}$ in point p such that $h_*(\xi_i) = \eta_i$. We suppose

$$\sigma(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) = \sigma(h_*(\xi_1), \dots, h_*(\xi_{n-1})) = dz_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}, p).$$

We show that with the help of σ one can calculate the Lagrangian angle β_1 on L. For this it is necessary to show that $\nabla|_L \sigma = 0$, where ∇ is a connection in a canonical bundle over $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$, concordant with the Levi–Chivita connection. Analogically one can define σ on the tangent vectors $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}$ in points of L to $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. For this it is necessary to take their horizontal lifting $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$ on $\widetilde{L}$.

Lemma 4 *Form σ is parallel on L for connection $\nabla|_L$.*

Proof. In order to prove parallelism of σ it is sufficient to consider an arbitrary smooth path $s(t)$ in L, to consider an arbitrary parallel tangent frame $\eta(t) = (\eta_1(t), \dots, \eta_{n-1}(t))$ to $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ along s and to show that $\sigma(\eta(t))$ is not dependent on parameter t. Let $\widetilde{s} \subset \widetilde{L}$ be a horizontal lifting of s and $(\xi_1(t), \dots, \xi_{n-1}(t))$ be a horizontal lifting of frame $\eta(t)$ by h. For a Levi–Chivita connection D on S^{2n-1} and vector fields X and Y we have

$$D_X Y = \mathcal{H} D_X Y + \mathcal{V} D_X Y,$$

where $\mathcal{H} D_X Y$ and $\mathcal{V} D_X Y$ are horizontal and vertical components, respectively. Moreover, $h_*(\mathcal{H} D_X Y) = \widetilde{D}_{h_*(X)} h_*(Y)$, where $\widetilde{D}$ is a Levi–Chivita connection on $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$. Consequently, since field $\eta_i(t)$ is parallel along s and $\xi_i(t)$ is its horizontal lifting, field $\frac{d\xi_i}{dt}$ has the form $f_i(t)\widetilde{s}(t)$, where $f_i(t)$ is some complex-valued function, since the projection of vector $\frac{d\xi_i}{dt}$ on S^{2n-1} must not have a horizontal component. The following equality holds:

$$\sigma(\eta(t)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1(t) & \dots & \xi_1^n(t) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{n-1}^1(t) & \dots & \xi_{n-1}^n(t) \\ s^1(t) & \dots & s^n(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $(\xi_i^1, \ldots, \xi_i^n)$ are complex coordinates of vectors ξ_i, $(s^1(t), \ldots, s^n(t))$ are complex coordinates of s. Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma(\eta(t)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dt}\xi_1^1(t) & \ldots & \frac{d}{dt}\xi_1^n(t) \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \xi_{n-1}^1(t) & \ldots & \xi_{n-1}^n(t) \\ s^1(t) & \ldots & s^n(t) \end{pmatrix} + \ldots +$$

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1(t) & \ldots & \xi_1^n(t) \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \frac{d}{dt}\xi_{n-1}^1(t) & \ldots & \frac{d}{dt}\xi_{n-1}^n(t) \\ s^1(t) & \ldots & s^n(t) \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1^1(t) & \ldots & \xi_1^n(t) \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ \xi_{n-1}^1(t) & \ldots & \xi_{n-1}^n(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}s^1(t) & \ldots & \frac{d}{dt}s^n(t) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The first $n-1$ determinants are equal to 0 by virtue of linear dependency of vectors $\frac{d}{dt}\xi_i$ and s. Vectors $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}, \frac{ds}{dt}$ are (complex) linearly dependent, consequently, their horizontal liftings $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}, \frac{d\tilde{s}}{dt}$ are also linearly dependent, therefore the last determinant is equal to zero, as well. Lemma 4 is proven.

Let p be some intersection point of cone $\psi_1(M_1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and sphere S^{2n-1}. By m we denote one of the points in the inverse image $\psi_1^{-1}(p)$ (if p is a selfintersection point of $\psi_1(M_1)$, then there are several). By V we denote some small neighbourhood of m and by $\widetilde{L}$ the intersection $\psi_1(V) \cap S^{2n-1}$. By Lemma 3 submanifold $h(\widetilde{L}) \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ is Lagrangian. Consequently, immersion ψ_2 is also Lagrangian, because $\psi_2(M_2)$ can be covered by neighbourhoods of type $h(\widetilde{L})$. By construction of form σ we have

$$\beta(p) = \beta_1(h(p))$$

(for simplicity we will identify V and $\psi_1(V)$ and also small neighbourhoods in M_2 with their images by ψ_2).

By choosing map F in the proof of Theorem 1 one can suppose that one of the coordinates, say, x_1, is a coordinate along straight lines that generate a cone, and $x_2, \ldots, x_k$ are coordinates on $\psi_1^{-1}(\widetilde{L})$. Then the metric on $\widetilde{L}$, and, as follows from Lemma 3, on L, in the neighbourhood of point $h(p)$ has the form (4), where $x = (x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ and the summation on coordinate x_1 are skipped. By Lemma 2 function β_1 is harmonic on M_2, which proves the H-minimality of ψ_2. From formula (3) follows the remaining part of the theorem. Theorem 2 is proven.

Example 5. Let $k = 1, d_j = 0$ in (2). Then $\psi_2(M_2)$ is a $(n-1)$-dimensional Clifford torus in $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$

$$\psi_2(M_2) = \{(u_1 e^{\pi i(e_1,y)} : \ldots : u_n e^{\pi i(e_n,y)})\} \subset \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}.$$

Example 6. Let M be a cone

$$u_1^2 + 2u_2^2 = 3u_3^2.$$

Then

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z},\ \Lambda^* = \mathbb{Z},\ \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

The non-zero element from Γ maps point $(u_1, u_2, u_3, q) \in M \times S^1$ into point $(-u_1, u_2, -u_3, -q)$. Consequently, ψ_2 is a minimal immersion of Klein's bottle (see example 1).

Example 7. Let M be given by equation

$$m_1 u_1^2 + \ldots + m_{n-1} u_{n-1}^2 = m_n u_n^2,$$

where m_j are natural numbers. In this case the topological type of M_2 will be the same as in example 2. If $m_1 + \ldots + m_{n-1} = m_n$, then immersion ψ_2 is minimal. If $m_1 = \ldots = m_{n-1}$, then immersion ψ_2 is an embedding.

It is not difficult to construct examples in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ analogically to those in 3 and 4.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Oh, Y. Volume minimization of Lagrangian submanifolds under Hamiltonian deformations // Math. Z. 1993. V. 212. P. 175-192.

[2] Castro, I., and Urbano, F. Examples of unstable Hamiltonian-minimal Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}^2$ // Compositio Math. 1998. V. 111. P. 1-14.

[3] Helein, F., and Romon, P. Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in $\mathbb{C}^2$ // Comm. Anal. Geom. 2002. V. 10. P. 79–126.

[4] Helein, F., and Romon, P. Weierstrass representation of Lagrangian surfaces in four-dimensional space using spinors and quaternions // Commentari Mathematici Helvetici. 2000. V. 75. P. 680-688.

[5] Nemirovski, S. Lefschetz pencils, Morse functions, and Lagrangian embeddings of the Klein bottle // Izvestia Math. 2002. V. 66. N. 1. P. 151-164.

[6] Helein, F., and Romon, P. Hamiltonian stationary Lagrangian surfaces in Hermitian symmetric spaces // In: Differential geometry and Integrable Systems. Eds. M. Guest, R. Miyaoka, and Y. Ohnita. Contemporary Mathematics. V. 308. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002. P. 161-178.

[7] Castro, I., and Urbano, F. New examples of minimal Lagrangian tori in the complex projective plane // Manuscripta Math. 1994. V. 85. P. 265–281.

[8] Wolfson, J. Minimal Lagrangian diffeomorphisms and the Monge-Ampere equation // J. Differential Geometry. 1997. V. 46. P. 335-373.